| Participant Identifier | , |  |
|------------------------|---|--|
| <u>.</u>               |   |  |

What was your overall impression of this system?

It was good

What aspects of this system did you like most?

not dely complicated

What aspects of this system did you like least?

The lack of a mone dicking and

Diff.culty editting
Were there any features you were surprised to see?

10

What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application?

Acre

Compare this system to other systems you have used.

longer learning curve

Would you recommend this system to your colleagues?

not to or of New System

What was your overall impression of this system?

useful and easy with familiar screens

What aspects of this system did you like most?

Key viroke entry

What aspects of this system did you like least?

navigation of registration occreen - can easily get lost in prompts

Were there any features you were surprised to see?

provider protocol process

What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application?

FAQ or application guide. If lost or uncertain what direction could be given to correct. #

Compare this system to other systems you have used.

no drop down menu relection or pre-populated fields for common texts

Would you recommend this system to your colleagues?

| Participant Identifier |  |
|------------------------|--|

| What was your overal | I impression | of this | system? |
|----------------------|--------------|---------|---------|
|----------------------|--------------|---------|---------|

Easy to use the testing guestions need to be updated to match screens. Our severs Have diccorent grampts now

What aspects of this system did you like most?

pass to use

What aspects of this system did you like least?

NIA

Were there any features you were surprised to see?

NO

What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application?

NIA

Compare this system to other systems you have used.

Other Systems I have used are better

Would you recommend this system to your colleagues?

It is only one we use. Other systems elsewhere are better

| Participant Identifier | <u> </u> |
|------------------------|----------|

| Questions for After the Exercises  |
|--|
| What was your overall impression of this system?  Regerstering Patients was the most difficult part of  the Science of Nydering Labs/Krays & Supplies causes for   |
| What aspects of this system did you like most?   |
| ordering Lats & XRays.   |
| What aspects of this system did you like least?  |
| Registering Patients   |
| Were there any features you were surprised to see?  I was very surprised at the amount of time it took me to register a patient. Id like to get my times down to less than 5 mins moving forward  What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application?  I wanted to experience ordering & Signing of meds as a  Norse to better understand their auties. |
| Compare this system to other systems you have used.  Profty lasy to use prompts are a good addition.   |
| Would you recommend this system to your colleagues?  I would recommend taking the time to review each page in depth to verify all options + selections.  |

| <b>Partici</b> | pant Identifier | 6 |
|----------------|-----------------|---|
|                |                 |   |

What was your overall impression of this system?

It was ok

What aspects of this system did you like most?

The accessibility to update or make changes

What aspects of this system did you like least?

The lack of electronic compatibility with using the mouse. Having to completely go back to change something just to edit a single letter.

Were there any features you were surprised to see?

no

What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application?

Ability to toggle between pages to edit freely without having to completely remove yourself from the chart first.

Compare this system to other systems you have used.

This system is a little outdated. This system does not allow the use of the mouse. The system does not have a spell check feature which causes a lot of errors when not knowing how to spell certain things.

Would you recommend this system to your colleagues?

No.

| Participant Identifier | #7 |
|------------------------|----|
|                        |    |

| Questions for After the Exercises  What was your overall impression of this system? - DARGERED Not intuitively of the Area of |
|---|
| What aspects of this system did you like least?<br>Footers at bottom of screen are difficult to<br>decipher if you are not familiar   |
| Were there any features you were surprised to see?  |

What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application?  $\widehat{\Omega}$ 

Compare this system to other systems you have used. Archaic. Lacks Word Processing capabilities that would make documentation easier.

Would you recommend this system to your colleagues?  $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$ 

| Participant Identifier | 8 |
|------------------------|---|
|                        |   |

What was your overall impression of this system?

Horing used the system extensively, I am very familiar with. The majority of the functions. Overall, the system seems dated and some of the processes more complicated than needed. It is not very user friendly. What aspects of this system did you like most?

The protocol system has a lot of useful information for the providers. The patient history section is easy to access. Ordering labs/k-rays was simple.

What aspects of this system did you like least?

Registration process was complicated. A was not early to add to problem list Cactual diagnoces.

Were there any features you were surprised to see? **no.** 

What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application?

I expected to see information from outside providers - diagnoses, meds, etc. This may be due to testing on the Training system.

Compare this system to other systems you have used.

I have not used other systems.

Would you recommend this system to your colleagues?

There is a decent learning curve, but once you get the hang of it, most processes are relatively easy.

|                        | $\sigma$ |
|------------------------|----------|
| Participant Identifier | 1        |

What was your overall impression of this system?

Over oil the registration process how simple.

I was not able to

What aspects of this system did you like most?

Ordering Lant 3 Kray

What aspects of this system did you like least?

Med S ? Allergier

Were there any features you were surprised to see?

to order meat 3 Rx

What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application?

Compare this system to other systems you have used.

can be user friendly with

Promoto

Would you recommend this system to your colleagues?

NO

| Participant Identifier | 10 |
|------------------------|----|
| <u>-</u>               | ·  |

What was your overall impression of this system?

Have to be familiar with the system to navigate the system. Have to take time to read and follow prompts What aspects of this system did you like most? System is not user friendly.

What aspects of this system did you like least?

Instructions are not clear. Hove to how accorate precise training to understand system.

Were there any features you were surprised to see?

What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application? MIS>Ing the ability to CAtan/correct typos efficiently MIS>Ing a "Snapshot" of a patient History Problems Our PAS system has a great features and is inclusive if you have a good back atound and underst anding on how to access the multiple levels Available. Compare this system to other systems you have used.

It is a feamed system not new used friendly. You have to retain the "Shortcuts" to get to what you are looking for Hard to get a quick Would you recommend this system to your colleagues? accurate picture of the Patient.

| Participant Identifier11  |
|---|
| Questions for After the Exercises   |
| What was your overall impression of this system?  |
| I found it easy to follow. The key is you have to read very carefully to determine the next step  |
| What aspects of this system did you like most?  |
| It is basic and it has a number of system checks in place to make sure you are making the right decisions   |
|   |
| What aspects of this system did you like least?   |
| At times there is a lot of information to digest on the screen and some steps seem repetitive.  |
| Were there any features you were surprised to see?  |
| No  |
| What features did you expect to encounter but did not see? That is, is there anything that is missing in this application? I think it was pretty encompassing and user friendly |
| Compare this system to other systems you have used.   |
| A little wordy, key strokes instead of clicks. Not necessarily a had thing, Just obvious difference.  |

Would you recommend this system to your colleagues?

I would